

**GOVERNMENT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
DEPARTMENT OF GENERAL SERVICES**



REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS

**ARCHITECTURAL/ENGINEERING SERVICES FOR
BENNING PARK RECREATION CENTER**

May 13, 2015

Proposal Due Date: **June 9, 2015 by 2:00 p.m. EDT**

Preproposal Conference: **May 19, 2015 at 10:30 a.m. EDT**

to be held at:

**Frank D. Reeves Center
2nd Floor Community Room
2000 14th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20009**

Contact: Thomas D. Bridenbaugh
Leftwich, LLC
1400 K Street, NW
Suite 1000
Washington, D.C. 20005
Phone: (202) 434-9100

Solicitation Number: **DCAM-15-AE-0129**

Executive Summary

The District of Columbia Department of General Services (“DGS” or “Department”) is issuing this Request for Proposals (“RFP”) to engage a design firm to serve as the architect/engineer (the “Architect”) for a turn-key solution for the renovation or new design of the Benning Park Recreation Center (“Benning Park”). Benning Park sits on approximately 8.91 acres and is comprised of a two-story concrete framed recreation building, two outdoor swimming pools, one baseball field, two tennis courts, three basketball courts, and a playground. The current building was built circa 1969 and is approximately 24,000 GSF. Benning Park is bounded by Southern Avenue, SE, Fitch Street, SE and G Street, SE in Washington, DC. The first floor of Benning Park provides access to the swimming pools, boxing room, men’s and women’s locker rooms and support spaces. The upper level is comprised of the main entrance, the central auditorium/community room, dance room, arts and crafts room, fitness room, staff offices and support spaces. Currently the two-story facility does not have an elevator and is therefore not fully accessible by ADA standards. The Department commissioned a comprehensive facility condition assessment and space utilization study (included as **Attachment A**).

The Department seeks services from an Architect (“Architect”) to provide the full range of architectural and engineering services in a multiphase process. The first phase of this project includes a planning/programming study with cost estimates to determine the most viable course of action to implement the program requirements through either a renovation of the existing facility (with or without an annex), or the demolition of the existing facility and construction of a new facility. Based on the results of the study and cost estimates, the Department will determine the most viable approach for the modernization of Benning Park before commencing to the next phases, which include the development of concept design, schematic design, design development, and permit set drawings. If requested by the builder or the Department, the Architect may be required to complete further developed construction documents following submission of the permit drawings. The Department anticipates that the modernized Benning Park site will include, at a minimum, (i) large multi-purpose room/ auditorium; (ii) boxing arena; (iii) dance room; (iv) arts & crafts room; (v) fitness room; (vi) locker rooms; (vii) computer room; (viii) gymnasium; (ix) staff offices; (x) restrooms; (xi) demonstration kitchen; (xii) ADA accessibility/elevator; (xiii) storage areas; and (xiv) pool deck and pool upgrades. The Project shall be designed in such a way so as to achieve, at a minimum, LEED – Gold certification.

A.1 Project Delivery Method

The Department plans to deliver this project through a construction management at risk approach. Initially, the Architect engaged through this procurement will work directly for the Department. The Construction Manager will work with the Architect as the Architect is preparing a permit set of construction documents (the “Bid Set”). The Department envisions that will be completed in mid-February 2016 at which point the Construction Manager will provide a Guaranteed Maximum Price (“GMP”) based upon the approved Bid Set. Concurrent with the execution of the GMP, the Department will assign the Architect’s contract to the Construction Manager. From and after that point, the Architect will work directly for the Construction Manager.

A.2 Form of Contract; Scope

The Form of Contract will be issued by an addendum to this RFP. Offerors should carefully review the Form of Contract when submitting their proposal. To the extent there are any inconsistencies between this RFP and the Form of Contract, the Form of Contract shall prevail. Offerors are further advised that they are required to submit their proposal premised upon entering into a contract that is substantially similar to the Form of Contract and that any proposed changes to the Form of Contract must be clearly identified and described in their proposal. **A proposal that fails to specifically identify and describe the requested changes shall be deemed non-responsive.**

A.3 Design Fees; Incentives

As will be more fully described in the Form of Contract, the selected Architect will be paid a fixed fee for the initial programming/planning phase (the “Programming/Planning Fee”). Such fee should include all costs associated with determining the most viable course of action to implement the program requirements through either a renovation of the existing facility (with or without an annex), or the demolition of the existing facility and construction of a new facility, and shall include cost estimates for both options. Other than reimbursable expenses, the firm fixed price so quoted will be the selected Offeror’s sole compensation for programming/planning phase services. The quoted price shall be a “turnkey” price for such services and shall include sufficient funding for all efforts necessary to complete the programming/planning phase, including any consultants.

The selected Architect will also be paid a fixed price for all subsequent design phase services (“Design Fee”). Construction administration services will be charged on an hourly basis at agreed upon rates. Offerors will be required to bid a Design Fee that covers all of the Offeror’s costs associated with the preparation of the (i) concept design; (ii) schematic design; (iii) a set of design development documents; (iv) a permit set of construction documents (the “Bid Set”); and (v) 100% complete construction documents.

The design approval and the GMP package will be based on the Bid Set. The Department envisions that the following early release packages may be required in order to maintain the schedule: (i) a hazardous materials abatement package; (ii) a demolition package; and (iii) a foundation-to-grade package. A schedule of values should be provided that allocates the Design Fee among the various design phases (i.e. concept, schematic, design development, Bid Set, and 100% complete construction documents. In addition, breakout prices for the three (3) early release packages should also be provided. The schedule of values will be used for purposes of making progress payments.

Offerors will also be required to provide hourly rates for construction administration services. Offerors should submit with their proposal an Offer Letter in substantially the form of **Attachment B** on the Offeror’s letterhead that includes the proposed Design Fee, the schedule of values, and hourly rates. The Form of Contract will provide for a Five Percent (5%) retention of

the firm-fixed price which will be held by the Department until the Project's completion. In the event the Project is not delivered on-time and on-budget, the selected Architect will forfeit the retention amount. In the event the project is delivered on-time and on-budget, the Architect will receive an amount equal to twice the retention. Thus, if the Project is delivered on-time and on-budget, the Architect will receive One Hundred Five Percent (105%) of its bid fee.

Offerors should submit with their proposal an Offer Letter in substantially the form of **Attachment B** on the Offeror's letterhead that includes the proposed Design Fee as well as a schedule of hourly rates.

Other than the original proposal (which shall include both a pricing and technical response), Offerors will be required to submit copies of the pricing portion of their proposal (including the Form of Offer Letter and any spreadsheets or other pricing documents referenced in the Form of Offer Letter) separately from the technical portion of their proposal. The technical portion of the proposal consists of everything other than pricing information.

A.4 Economic Inclusion

The Department requires that Local, Small and Disadvantaged Business Enterprises ("LSDBEs") participate in this project to the greatest extent possible and desires that such businesses perform at least Fifty Percent (50%) of the work under this procurement. Thirty Five Percent (35%) of the Contract Work must be awarded to entities that are certified as either Small or Disadvantaged Business Enterprises by the District of Columbia Department of Small and Local Business Development, and Twenty Percent (20%) of the Contract Work to entities that are certified as Disadvantaged Business Enterprises. The Department will also require that the Architect and all of its subconsultants, subcontractors, and suppliers, enter into a First Source Employment Agreement with the Department of Employment Services and hire Fifty One percent (51%) District residents for all new jobs created on the project. Please see **Part C** of this RFP for additional information.

A.5 Selection Criteria

Proposals will be evaluated in accordance with **Part D** of this RFP. The following evaluation criteria will be used:

- Experience & References (30 points)
- Key Personnel (20 points)
- Design Approach and Management Plan (25 points)
- LSDBE Compliance/Utilization (15 points)
- Preliminary Design Schedule (10 points)

A.6 Project Schedule

The preliminary project schedule is as follows:

- Notice of Award - on or about June 30, 2015
- Issuance of Notice to Proceed - on or about July 2, 2015
- Findings and Recommendation Presentation - August 21, 2015
- Concept Design to DGS: - October 2, 2015
- Issue Builder RFP: - October 2015
- Schematic Design to DGS: - November 20, 2015
- Design Development Documents to DGS: - January 19, 2016
- Early Release Packages to DGS: - January 19, 2016
- Permit Set to DGS - February 16, 2016
- Trade Bidding: - February 16 to 29, 2016
- Final GMP - March 11, 2016
- Council Approval: - early April 2016
- Substantial Completion: - Spring 2017

A.7 Procurement Schedule

The schedule for this procurement is as follows:

- Issue RFP - May 13, 2015
- Pre-proposal Conference - May 19, 2015 at 10:30 a.m.
- Last Day for Questions/Clarifications - June 1, 2015
- Proposals Due - June 9, 2015
- Notice of Award - on or about June 30, 2015

A.8 Attachments

- Attachment A** - Aerial Map, Existing Drawings and Comprehensive Facility Condition Assessment and Space Utilization Study
- Attachment B** - Form of Offer Letter
- Attachment C** - Disclosure Statement
- Attachment D** - Tax Affidavit

SECTION B SCOPE OF WORK

B.1 Scope of Work

In general, the selected Architect will be required to provide architectural and engineering services necessary to renovate Benning Park. The selected Architect will be required to provide all of the design services necessary to implement the Project and to produce the required deliverables. These services will include both architectural and engineering services, including, but not limited to, engaging the necessary consultants to assess the site conditions. The scope of will also include performing a Phase I archaeological survey, performing a geotechnical survey, and performing a topography and boundary survey.

B.2 Programming Phase.

B.2.1 Services. During the Programming Phase, the Architect will be required to further develop the program requirements and complete a planning/programming study with cost estimates to determine the most viable course of action to implement the program requirements through either a renovation of the existing facility (with or without an annex), or the demolition of the existing facility and construction of a new facility. Based on the results of the study and cost estimates, the Department will determine the most viable approach for the modernization of Benning Park before commencing to the next phases. At a minimum, the Architect will be required to complete the following tasks:

- a. Conduct meetings with various District stakeholders in order to identify and confirm the needs and objectives of the new Benning Park facility.
- b. Conduct meetings with District representatives regarding programming issues associated with meeting the various goals and objectives.
- c. Interview certain staff to clarify types of spaces required and the functions and programming for such spaces to determine the best approach for addressing the present and future needs of the Benning Park facility. The Department anticipates that the selected Architect will be required to identify and review issues critical to the planning and design of a new archive facility.

B.2.2 Deliverables. During this phase, the Architect shall be required to prepare and submit to representatives of the District and the Department the below-listed deliverables. All such deliverables shall be subject to review and approval by the District, and the Architect's pricing should assume that revisions may be required to these documents to address concerns raised by representatives of the District and/or other Project stakeholders.

- a. Initial report of goals and objectives based on meetings and interviews.
- b. Progress programming document issued at 50% and 90% of effort, including all information generated and conclusions reached.
- c. Cost estimates for both modernization options: renovation of the existing facility (with or without an annex), as well as the demolition of the existing facility and construction of a new facility.

- d. Final planning/programming document.

B.3 Concept Design Phase

B.3.1 Services. This phase of the Project will include further program development and the preparation of a concept design. During this phase, the Architect shall complete the following tasks:

- a. Conduct meetings with DGS representatives to confirm instructional program and verify recreation center requirements on a space-by-space basis.
- b. Conduct life safety/building code analysis to verify compliance of design with DCRA's latest adaptation of the IBC code in use at the time the Contract is executed.
- c. Conduct LEED Workshops with design team and DGS representatives to identify sustainable design strategies to be included in revised design. It is understood that a minimum of LEED – Gold certification is expected.
- d. Participate in Value Engineering workshops with DGS representatives.
- e. Prepare and submit EISF.
- f. Survey existing facility to confirm locations, types, quantities and abatement specifications of hazardous materials to be abated.
- g. Request and receive hydrant flow test.
- h. Perform alternative mechanical systems evaluation and recommend selection.
- i. Confer with audio-visual and acoustic consultants to establish design requirements for the Project.
- j. Confer with the Department's IT representatives/consultants to verify technological requirements for the Project.
- k. Prepare and submit a Phase 1 Archaeological Survey to DC HPRB. Include all permitting and development of civil plans as required to support the work.
- l. Perform a geotechnical survey and topography and boundary survey.

B.3.2 Deliverables. During this phase, the Architect will be required to prepare and submit to the Department the below-listed deliverables. All such deliverables shall be subject to review and approval by the Department, and the Architect's pricing should assume that revisions may be required to these documents to address concerns raised by the Department and/or other Project stakeholders.

- a. Historic resources survey
- b. Phase 1 archaeological survey
- c. Survey of existing conditions
- d. Topography and boundary survey
- e. Geotechnical survey
- f. Flow Test Results
- g. Results of Hazardous Materials Survey
- h. Summary of required agency review and timetables (i.e. CFA, Office of Planning)
- i. Submission of progress plans for building and site at each phase of development

- j. Environmental Impact Screening Form Submission
- k. Record of Accepted LEED Strategies
- l. Record of Accepted Value Engineering Strategies
- m. EISF Submission
- n. Summary of Required Agency Review, Timetables, including but not limited to: Office of Planning (“OP”), Commission of Fine Arts (“CFA”)
- o. Architectural Concept Development
 - i. Development of final master site plan
 - ii. Building plan
 - iii. Preliminary cost estimates
 - iv. Project schedule
- p. Environmental Impact Screening Form Submission

B.4 Schematic Design Phase.

Based on the concept design prepared by the Architect as well as written and oral feedback from the Department and its Program Manager, the Architect shall be required to develop a schematic design that meets the Department’s programmatic requirements as well as the Department’s schedule and budget requirements, i.e. designed to budget. The schematic design shall contain such detail as is typically required for schematic designs under the standard AIA contract.

B.4.1 Services. In general, the Architect shall be required to undertake the following tasks during this phase:

- a. Further develop conceptual plans and incorporate design changes.
- b. Conduct additional community meetings to solicit input and keep constituents informed.
- c. Prepare necessary presentation materials (renderings and models) to communicate design and obtain approval of design direction.

B.4.2 Deliverables. During this phase, the Architect will be required to prepare and submit to the Department the following deliverables. All such deliverables shall be subject to review and approval by the Department and the Architect’s pricing should assume that revisions may be required to these documents to address concerns raised by the Department and/or other project stakeholders.

- a. Digital floor plans and site plan
- b. Preliminary building elevations and sections
- c. Plan-to-Program Comparison
- d. Design Narrative
- e. Updated schedule and cost estimate

B.5 Design Development Phase.

B.5.1 Services. During this phase, the Architect will be required to progress the schematic design into a set of design development documents. The Architect shall be required to work with the Department, DPR and other stakeholders, and at a minimum shall meet with such representatives twice a month to discuss the status of the design and key issues. The specific services required during this phase are:

- a. Select and draft outline specifications for materials, systems, and equipment.
- b. Develop detailed and dimensioned plans, wall sections, building section, and schedules.
- c. Complete code compliance analysis and drawing.
- d. Confirm space-by-space equipment layouts with representatives from DGS.
- e. Conduct follow up meetings with agencies as required.
- f. Coordinate furniture, fixtures, and equipment requirements (“FF&E”).
- g. Present the design to CFA, Office of Planning, and other regulatory agencies as required.
- h. Register the Project with USGBC to obtain LEED certification and pay all registration fees.

B.5.2 Deliverables. The following deliverables are required during this phase.

- a. 35% (minimum progress) documents for all technical disciplines, drawings and specs
- b. 50% design development progress printing.
- c. A reconciliation report that addresses issues raised by the Builder as a result of the 50% progress printing.
- d. CFA submission materials; meetings and presentations to CFA as required.
- e. Updated Project Budget and Schedule.
- f. Early release packages: (i) hazardous materials abatement package; (ii) a demolition package; and (iii) a foundation-to-grade package.

B.6 Permit Set

B.6.1 Services. The Architect shall be required to develop a set of documents for permitting. The Permit Set shall represent the further progression of the approved design development documents together with any value engineering strategies approved by the Department. The Permit Set will be construction documents progressed to approximately Seventy Five Percent (75%) completion of those required in a traditional Design/Bid/Build delivery method. However, the Permit Set will be code compliant and permit ready, with all major systems sufficiently designed, detailed, specified, coordinated, and developed.

The Architect shall incorporate into the Permit Set the design requirements of governmental authorities having jurisdiction over the Project. In addition, the Architect shall be required to (a) define, clarify, or complete the concepts and information contained in the Permit Set; (b) correct design errors or omissions, ambiguities, and inconsistencies in the Permit Set (whether found prior to or during the course of construction); and (c) correct any failure of the Architect to

follow written instructions of the Department during any phase of design services or the construction of the Project provided they are compatible with industry standards.

B.6.2 Deliverables. The Architect shall provide the following deliverables during this phase:

- a. Prepare and submit Permit Set documents
- b. Prepare detailed and coordinated drawings and specifications to be included in the Permit Set.
- c. Prepare application and submit documents for building permit.
- d. Have all plans certified by a third party plan reviewer approved by DCRA for permit document submission.
- e. Upload all documents to DCRA's permit document review website in accordance with their instructions.
- f. Prepare all traffic control plans required to obtain relevant DDOT permit approvals at all stages of the project.

B.7 Construction Documents and Construction Administration

If requested by the Builder, the Architect may be required to develop further the Bid Set permit drawings. The exact scope of services for construction documents beyond the Bid Set will be established during the design development phase.

B.7.1 Bidding. The Architect shall provide support to the Builder and the Department as may be necessary to support the bidding of trade subcontracts. These services will include, but are not necessarily limited to:

- a. Assist Builder with distribution of documents, as needed.
- b. Prepare and issue bidding addenda.
- c. Respond to bidding questions and issue clarification, as needed.
- d. Consider and evaluate requests for substitutions

B.7.2 Construction Administration. The Architect shall provide support to the Builder and the Department as may be necessary to support the construction phase of the Project. These services will include, but are not necessarily limited to:

- a. Attend biweekly progress meetings. Architectural site visits are included in base fee.
- b. Review and process shop drawing submissions, RFI's, etc.
- c. Prepare meeting notes and records of decisions/changes made.
- d. Conduct punchlist inspections.
- e. Review closeout documents for completeness.

In addition, the Architect shall provide the following deliverables during this phase:

- a. Meeting minutes.

- b. ASI's or other clarification documents.
- c. Punchlists.
- d. Closeout document review comments.
- e. As-Builts (if authorized).

B.8 Key Personnel

In its proposal, each Offeror will be required to identify its key personnel. Key personnel shall include, at a minimum, the following individuals: (i) the Design Principal; (ii) the Project Architect; (iii) the Project Designer; and (iv) the key engineering subconsultants. **The Architect will not be permitted to reassign any of the key personnel unless the Department approves the proposed reassignment and the proposed replacement.**

B.9 Licensing, Accreditation and Registration

The Architect and all of its subcontractors and subconsultants (regardless of tier) shall comply with all applicable District of Columbia, state, and federal licensing, accreditation, and registration requirements and standards necessary for the performance of the contract. Without limiting the generality of the foregoing, all drawings shall be signed and sealed by a professional architect or engineer licensed in the District of Columbia.

B.10 Conformance with Laws

It shall be the responsibility of the Architect to perform under the contract in conformance with the Department's Procurement Regulations and all statutes, laws, codes, ordinances, regulations, rules, requirements, orders, and policies of governmental bodies.

B.11 Time is of the Essence

Time is of the essence with respect to the contract. The Project must be Substantially Complete by June 30, 2017.

SECTION C ECONOMIC INCLUSION

C.1 Preference for Small, Local, and Disadvantaged Business Enterprises

General: Under the provisions of the Small, Local, and Disadvantaged Business Enterprise Development and Assistance Act of 2005, D.C. Law 16-33 (codified at D.C. Code § 2-218.01 et seq.), preferences shall be given to Offerors that are certified by the District of Columbia Department of Small and Local Business Development as being a small business enterprise, having resident business ownership, having a longtime resident business, being a local business enterprise, being a disadvantaged business enterprise, being a local business enterprise with its principal office located in an enterprise zone, being a veteran-owned business enterprise, or being a local manufacturing business enterprise. (A copy of the certification acknowledgment letter must be submitted with the Offeror's Proposal.) In accordance with these laws, the following preferences shall be awarded in evaluating an Offeror's proposal:

- Three (3) preference points shall be awarded if the Offeror is certified as having a small business enterprise.
- Five (5) preference points shall be awarded if the Offeror is certified as having a resident business ownership.
- Five (5) points shall be awarded if the Offeror is certified as having a longtime resident business.
- Two (2) preference points shall be awarded if the Offeror is certified as a local business enterprise.
- Two (2) preference points shall be awarded if the Offeror is certified as being a local business enterprise with its principal office located in an enterprise zone.
- Two (2) preference points shall be awarded if the Offeror is certified as a disadvantaged business enterprise.
- Two (2) preference points shall be awarded if the Offeror is certified as a veteran-owned business enterprise.
- Two (2) preference points shall be awarded if the Offeror is certified as a local manufacturing business enterprise.

Offerors may qualify for more than one of these categories, so that the maximum number of points available under this section is Twelve (12) points.

Information: For information regarding the application process, contact the Department of Small and Local Business Development at the following address or telephone number:

Department of Small and Local Business Development
One Judiciary Square Building
441 4th Street, NW, 9th Floor
Washington, DC 20001
(202) 727-3900 (Telephone Number)
(202) 724-3786 (Facsimile Number)

C.2 SLDBE Participation

The Department requires that significant participation by business enterprises certified by the Department of Small and Local Business Development as: (i) a local business enterprise; (ii) a small business enterprise; (iii) a disadvantaged business enterprise; (iv) having a owned resident business; (v) being a longtime business resident; or (vi) having a local business enterprise with its principal office located in an enterprise zone. Accordingly, and in addition to the preference points conferred by **Section C.1**, the Department requires that business enterprises so certified must participate in at least Fifty Percent (50%) of the Contract Work under this procurement. At least Thirty Five Percent (35%) of the Contract Work must be awarded to entities that are certified as Small Business Enterprises by the District of Columbia Department of Small and Local Business Development and Twenty Percent (20%) of the Contract Work to entities that are certified as Disadvantaged Business Enterprises. Offerors will be required to submit a Local Business Enterprise Utilization Plan with their proposals. The Utilization Plan must demonstrate how this requirement will be met and, to the extent possible at this stage in the project, should identify the specific firms that will be used and their respective roles.

C.3 Residency Hiring Requirements for Contractors and Subcontractors

At least Fifty One Percent (51%) of the Offeror's Team and every subconsultant's employees hired after the Offeror enters into a contract with the Department, or after such subconsultant enters into a contract with the Offeror, to work on this project, shall be residents of the District of Columbia.

Upon execution of the contract, the Offeror and all of its member firms, if any, and each of its subcontractors and subconsultants shall submit to the Department a list of current employees that will be assigned to the project, the date that they were hired and whether or not they live in the District of Columbia.

The Offeror shall comply with subchapter X of Chapter II of Title 2 of the D.C. Code, and all successor acts thereto, including by not limited to the Workforce Intermediary Establishment and Reform of First Source Amendment Act of 2011, and the rules and regulations promulgated thereunder, and all successor acts thereto and the rules and regulations promulgated thereunder. The Offeror and all member firms, subcontractors, tier subcontractors, subconsultants, and suppliers with contracts in the amount of \$100,000 or more shall be required to comply with the following: (i) enter into a First Source Employment Agreement with the D.C. Department of Employment Services ("DOES") upon execution of the contract; (ii) submit an executed First Source Agreement to DOES prior to beginning work on the project; (iii) make best efforts to hire at least 51% District residents for all new jobs created by the project; (iv) list all employment vacancies with DOES; and (v) submit monthly compliance reports to DOES by the 10th of each month.

SECTION D EVALUATION AND AWARD CRITERIA

D.1 Evaluation Process

The Department shall evaluate submissions and any best and final offers in accordance with the provisions of this **Section D** and the Department's Procurement Regulations.

D.2 Evaluation Committee

Each submission shall be evaluated in accordance with this **Section D** by an Evaluation Committee. The Evaluation Committee shall prepare a written report summarizing its findings and submit the same to the source selection official. Based on the information submitted by the Offerors in response to this RFP and the report prepared by the Evaluation Committee, the source selection official shall select the Offeror(s) whose submissions are determined by the source selection official to be the most advantageous to the Department.

D.3 Oral Presentation

The Department does not intend to interview Offerors that are in the competitive range; however, the Department reserves the right to award conduct interviews of some or all Offerors prior to making its award. If the Department conducts such interviews, each Offeror within the competitive range shall make an oral presentation to the Department's Evaluation Committee, and participate in a question and answer session. The purpose of the oral presentation and the question and answer session is to permit the Evaluation Committee to fully understand and assess the qualifications of each Offeror and the Offeror's key personnel. The submission will be re-scored at the conclusion of the oral presentation.

D.3.1 Length of Oral Presentation

Each Offeror will be given up to 30 minutes to make the presentation. At the end of the initial presentation, there will be a break for approximately 15 minutes for the Evaluation Committee to assess the presentation and prepare questions. The Offeror will then respond to questions from the Department's Evaluation Committee for no more than 30 minutes.

D.3.2 Schedule

The order of presentation will be selected randomly and the Offerors will be informed of their presentation date before the beginning of oral presentations. The Department reserves the right to reschedule any Offeror's presentation at the discretion of the contracting officer.

D.3.3 Offeror Attendees

The oral presentation will be made by the Offeror's personnel who will be assigned the key jobs for this project. Each Offeror will be limited to 5 persons. The job functions of the persons attending the presentation will be considered to be an indication of the Offeror's assessment of

the key areas of responsibility that are deemed essential to the successful completion of the project.

D.3.4 Topics

The Offeror may present information about its capabilities and special qualifications to serve as the Architect for this Project, including the qualifications of key personnel.

D.4 Proposal Evaluation

Each proposal will be scored on a scale of 1 to 100 points. In addition, Offerors will be eligible to receive up to 12 preference points as described in **Section C.1** of this RFP for participation by Local, Small or Disadvantaged Business Enterprises. Thus, the maximum number of points possible is 112. The contract will be awarded to the Offeror with the highest evaluated score.

D.4.1 Experience & References (30 points)

The Department desires to engage an Architect with the experience necessary to realize the objectives set forth in **Section A** of this RFP. Offerors will be evaluated based on their demonstrated experience in:

- (i) design excellence and design of public facilities in a manner that reflects civic importance and creates a sense of place and community;
- (ii) design of recreation center and similar facilities, including facilities with pools;
- (iii) design in an urban setting;
- (iv) cost estimating and value engineering/management; and
- (v) knowledge of the local regulatory agencies and Code Officials.

If the Offeror is a team or joint venture of multiple companies, the Evaluation Panel will consider the experience of each member of the team or joint venture in light of their role in the proposed team or joint venture. This element of the evaluation will be worth up to 30 points.

D.4.2 Key Personnel (20 points)

The Department desires that senior personnel who have experience in designing and completing high quality, construction projects on-time and on-budget be assigned to this project. Key personnel shall include, at a minimum, the following individuals:

- (i) the Design Principal;
- (ii) the Project Architect;
- (iii) the Project Designer; and
- (iv) the key engineering subconsultants.

The availability and experience of the key individuals assigned to this project will be evaluated as part of this element. This element of the evaluation will be worth up to 20 points.

D.4.3 Design Approach and Management Plan (25 Points)

The Management Plan should clearly explain how the Architect intends to manage and implement the Project. Among other things, the Management Plan should explain:

- (i) how the Architect will manage the engineering subconsultants so as to ensure that the drawings are properly coordinated, including coordination of the drawings;
- (ii) how the Architect will manage the value engineering/management process;
- (iii) how the Architect proposes to staff and handle construction administration and interact with the Construction Manager;
- (iv) how the Architect will manage the design process to ensure that bid packages are issued in a timely manner and incorporate agreed upon value engineering changes; and
- (v) describe the key challenges inherent in this Project and explain how they will be overcome or mitigated.

The Department will also consider the experience that the Architect and its team members have working together on similar projects. This element of the evaluation is worth up to 25 points.

D.4.5 Preliminary Design Schedule (15 points)

Offerors should submit with their Management Plan a schedule that shows the anticipated manner in which the design will be prepared and how it relates to the proposed construction schedule for this project. The schedule should show sufficient level of detail so as to demonstrate the Offeror's understanding of the Project and the key issues related to the Project. This factor of the evaluation will be worth up to 15 points.

D.4.4 LSDBE Compliance/Utilization (10 points)

The Department desires the selected Architect provide the maximum level of participation for Local, Small and Disadvantaged Business Enterprises. Offerors will be evaluated based on the following:

- (i) Whether the LSDBE Utilization plan demonstrates an understanding of the LSDBE utilization requirements for the Project.
- (ii) The Offeror's past performance in meeting LSDBE or similar goals.

This factor of the evaluation will be worth up to 10 points.

SECTION E PROPOSAL ORGANIZATION AND SUBMISSION

This section outlines specific information necessary for the proper organization and manner in which Offerors' Proposals should be proffered. References are made to other sections in this RFP for further explanation.

E.1 Submission Identification

Submissions shall be proffered in a full original proposal (pricing and technical submission); one (2) copies of the pricing proposal (Form of Offer Letter and any spreadsheets and/or other pricing document referenced in the Form of Offer Letter); and six (6) hard copies as well as two (2) electronic copies on CD-ROM or USB flash drive of the technical portion of the proposal (i.e. all portions of the proposal excluding the Form of Offer Letter and any spreadsheet or other pricing document referenced in the Form of Offer Letter). Copies of the technical proposal should **not** include the Form of Offer Letter or any spreadsheet or other pricing document referenced in the Form of Offer Letter. The Offeror's original submission shall be placed in a sealed envelope conspicuously marked: "Proposal for Architectural/Engineering Services for Benning Park Recreation Center." Copies of the pricing and technical submissions shall be labeled accordingly.

E.2 Delivery or Mailing of Submissions

Submissions should be delivered or mailed to:

DC Department of General Services
Att'n: James Marshall
Frank D. Reeves Center
2000 14th Street, NW, 8th Floor
Washington, DC 20009

E.3 Date and Time for Receiving Submissions

Submissions shall be received no later than 2:00 p.m. EDT, on June 9, 2015. The Offeror assumes the sole responsibility for timely delivery of its Submission, regardless of the method of delivery.

E.4 Submission Size, Organization and Offeror Qualifications

All submissions shall be submitted on 8-1/2" x 11" bond paper and typewritten. Telephonic, telegraphic, and facsimile submissions shall not be accepted. The Department is interested in a qualitative approach to presentation material. Brief, clear and concise material is more desirable than quantity. The submission shall be organized as follows:

E.4.1 Bid Form

Each Offeror shall submit a bid form substantially in the form of **Attachment B**, to include a Programming/Planning Fee and a Design Fee, in accordance with the attached pricing schedule, and outline any requested changes to the Form of Contract. Material deviations, in the opinion of the Department, from the bid form shall be sufficient to render the proposal non-responsive. The Department intends to award this contract to the most qualified firm and the cost information will be used to negotiate a fee for this project. Offerors shall also include a list of hourly rates for construction administration services.

E.4.2 Disclosure Form

Each Offeror shall submit a Disclosure Statement substantially in the form of **Attachment C**.

E.4.3 Executive Summary

Each Offeror should provide a summary of no more than three pages of the information contained in the following sections.

E.4.4 General Team Information and Firm(s) Data

Each Offeror should provide the following information for the principal Architectural firm and each of its subconsultants.

- A. Name(s), address(es), and role(s) of each firm (including all sub-consultants)
- B. Firm profile(s), including:
 - i. Age
 - ii. Firm history(ies)
 - iii. Firm size(s)
 - iv. Areas of specialty/concentration
 - v. Current firm workload(s) projected over the next two years
 - vi. Provide a list of any contract held by the Offeror where the contract was terminated (either for default or convenience). This list should also identify any contracts that resulted in litigation or arbitration between the Owner and the Offeror. If the Offeror has multiple offices, only contracts held by the office submitting this proposal need be listed.
- C. Description of the team organization and personal qualifications of key staff, including:

- i. Identification of the single point of contact for the Architect.
- ii. Organizational chart illustrating reporting lines and names and titles for key participants proposed by the team.
- iii. Resumes for each key participant on the team, including definition of that person's role, relevant project experience, and current workload over the next two years.

E.4.5 Relevant Experience and Capabilities

- A. List all projects that the team members have worked on in the last five (5) years that are similar to this project. For purposes of this paragraph, similar shall mean projects where the Offeror has served as the lead design consultant for a recreation center facility project, preferably including a pool, where the estimated construction costs exceeded Five Million Dollars (\$5,000,000). This information may be provided in an overview matrix format or brief list; however, it should include the name and location of the facility, the name of the owner, the time frame of the project, the original budget for the project, and whether the project was delivered on-time and on-budget. If a project was not delivered on-time or on budget, a brief description of the reasons should be provided.
- B. Detailed descriptions of no more than eight (8) projects that best illustrate the team's experience and capabilities relevant to this project, including at least three (3) projects where the Offeror served as the architect on a design-build team. On each project description, please provide all of the following information in consistent order:
 - i. Project name and location
 - ii. Name, address, contact person and telephone number for owner reference
 - iii. Name, address, contact person and telephone number for builder reference for those projects where the Offeror served on a design-build team
 - iv. Brief project description including project cost, square footage, firm's scope of work, and key firm strengths exhibited
 - v. Identification of personnel involved in the selected project who are proposed to work on this project
 - vi. Project process and schedule data including construction delivery method, and construction completion date (any unusual events or occurrences that affected the schedule should be explained)
 - vii. Renderings or photographs that show the interior and exterior of the project.

E.4.6 Design Approach and Management Plan

Each Offeror should submit a Design Approach and Management Plan that addresses the issues set forth in **Section D.4.3** of this RFP.

E.4.7 Cost Information

The Offeror should submit the Bid Form in substantially the form of **Attachment B**.

E.4.8 Local Business Utilization Plan

Each Offeror must submit a proposed Local Business Utilization Plan that identifies the specific certified business enterprises that will participate in the contract and their anticipated roles. In addition, each Offeror should provide: (i) a narrative description of similar projects and the Offeror's success in meeting such goals; and (ii) a chart, in summary form, that identifies the Offeror's major public projects over the last five years and its success in achieving such goals (creativity should be displayed regarding joint-venture and subcontractor agreements).

E.4.9 Tax Affidavit

Each Offeror must submit a tax affidavit substantially in the form of **Attachment D**. In order to be eligible for this procurement, Offerors must be in full compliance with their tax obligations to the District of Columbia government.

SECTION F BIDDING PROCEDURES & PROTESTS

F.1 Contact Person

For information regarding this RFP please contact:

Thomas D. Bridenbaugh
Leftwich, LLC
1400 K Street, NW
Suite 1000
Washington, D.C. 20005
Phone: (202) 434-9100
Facsimile: (202) 783-3420
tbridenbaugh@leftwichllc.com

Any written questions or inquiries should be sent to Thomas Bridenbaugh at the address above.

F.2 Preproposal Conference

A pre-proposal conference will be held on May 19, 2015 at 10:30 a.m. EDT. The conference will be held at the **Frank D. Reeves Center, 2nd Floor Community Room, 2000 14th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20009**. Interested Offerors are strongly encouraged to attend.

F.3 Explanations to Prospective Offerors

Each Offeror should carefully examine this Request for Proposals and any and all amendments, addenda or other revisions, and thoroughly familiarize itself with all requirements prior to proffering a submission. Should an Offeror find discrepancies or ambiguities in, or omissions from, the RFP and amendments, addenda or revisions, or otherwise desire an explanation or interpretation of the RFP, any amendments, addenda, or revisions, it must submit a request for interpretation or correction in writing. Any information given to an Offeror concerning the solicitation shall be furnished promptly to all other Offerors as an amendment or addendum to this RFP if in the sole discretion of the Department that information is necessary in proffering submissions or if the lack of it would be prejudicial to any other prospective Offerors. Oral explanations or instructions given before the award of the contract shall not be binding.

Requests should be directed to Thomas Bridenbaugh at the address listed in Section F.1 no later than the close of business on June 1, 2015. The person making the request shall be responsible for prompt delivery.

F.4 Protests

Protests shall be governed by Section 4734 of the Department's Procurement Regulations (27 DCMR § 4734). Protests alleging defects in this solicitation must be filed prior to the time set for receipt of submissions. If an alleged defect does not exist in this initial RFP, but was

incorporated into the RFP by an amendment or addendum, a protest based on that defect must be filed before the next closing time established for proffering submissions. In all other cases, a protester shall file the protest within ten (10) days after the protester knows or should have known, whichever is earlier, of the facts and circumstances upon which the protest is based. All protests must be made in writing to the Department's Chief Contracting Officer ("CCO") and must be filed in duplicate. Protests shall be served on the Department by obtaining written and dated acknowledgment of receipt from the Department's CCO. Protests received by the Department after the indicated period shall not be considered. To expedite handling of protests, the envelope shall be labeled "Protest".

This section is intended to summarize the bid protest procedures and is for the convenience of the Offerors only. To the extent any provision of this section is inconsistent with the Procurement Regulations, the more stringent provisions shall prevail.

F.5 Contract Award

This procurement is being conducted in accordance with the provisions of Section 4712 of the Department's Procurement Regulations (27 DCMR § 4712).

F.6 Retention of Submissions

All submissions shall be retained by the Department and therefore shall not be returned to the Offerors. With the exception of proprietary financial information, the submissions shall become the property of the Department and the Department shall have the right to distribute or use such information as it determines.

F.7 Examination of Submissions

Offerors are expected to examine the requirements of all instructions (including all amendments, addenda, attachments and exhibits) in this RFP. Failure to do so shall be at the sole risk of the Offeror and may result in disqualification.

F.8 Late Submissions: Modifications

- A. Any submission or best and final offer received at the office designated in this RFP after the exact time specified for receipt shall not be considered.
- B. Any modification of a submission, including a modification resulting from the CCO's requests for best and final offers, is subject to the same conditions as in F.8.A stated above.
- C. The only acceptable evidence to establish the time of receipt at the Department's office is the time-date stamp of such installation on the submission wrapper or other documentary evidence of receipt maintained by the installation.

- D. Notwithstanding any other provisions of this Request for Proposals to the contrary, a late modification of an otherwise successful submission which makes its terms more favorable to the Department may be considered at any time it is received and may be accepted.
- E. Submissions shall be irrevocable and remain in full force and effect for a period not less than 120 days after receipt of submissions.

F.9 No Compensation for Preparation of Submissions

The Department shall not bear or assume any financial obligations or liabilities regarding the preparation of any submissions submitted in response to this RFP, or prepared in connection therewith, including, but without limitation, any submissions, statements, reports, data, information, materials or other documents or items.

F.10 Rejection of Submissions

The Department reserves the right, in its sole discretion:

- A. To cancel this solicitation or reject all submissions.
- B. To reject submissions that fail to prove the Offeror's responsibility.
- C. To reject submissions that contain conditions and/or contingencies that in the Department's sole judgment, make the submission indefinite, incomplete, otherwise non-responsive, or otherwise unacceptable for award.
- D. To waive minor irregularities in any submission provided such waiver does not result in an unfair advantage to any Offeror.
- E. To take any other action within the applicable Procurement Regulations or law.
- F. To reject the submission of any Offeror that has submitted a false or misleading statement, affidavit or certification in connection with such submission or this Request for Proposals.

F.11 Limitation of Authority

Only a person with prior written authority from the CCO shall have the express, implied, or apparent authority to alter, amend, modify, or waive any clauses or conditions of the contract. Furthermore, any alteration, amendment, modification, or waiver of any clause or condition of this RFP is not effective or binding unless made in writing and signed by the CCO or its authorized representative.

SECTION G INSURANCE REQUIREMENTS

G.1 Required Insurance

The Architect will be required to maintain the following types of insurance throughout the life of the contract.

G.1.1 Commercial general public liability insurance (“Liability Insurance”) against liability for bodily injury and death and property damage, such Liability Insurance to be in an amount not less than One Million Dollars (\$1,000,000) for liability for bodily injury, death and property damage arising from any one occurrence and One Million Dollars (\$1,000,000) from the aggregate of all occurrences within each policy year. The policy should include completed operations coverage.

G.1.2 Workers’ compensation and Employers Liability coverage providing statutory benefits for all persons employed by the Architect, or its contractors and subcontractors at or in connection with the Work.

G.1.3 Errors and Omissions coverage written on a claims made basis and having an aggregate policy limit of at least Five Million Dollars (\$5,000,000). Such coverage shall be maintained throughout the life of the project and three (3) years beyond Substantial Completion.

Attachment A

Aerial Map, Existing Drawings and Comprehensive Facility Condition Assessment and Space Utilization Study

Drawings and Space Utilization

<https://leftwichlaw.box.com/s/gze8l48651p1p9j6h28f0jehd1yhm9zu>

Comprehensive Facility Condition Assessment

<https://leftwichlaw.box.com/s/5n58bdd938rfcmr7tm6tduvk43zhouej>

Aerial Map

<https://leftwichlaw.box.com/s/v3taxm3t1rrpst595zgopcuqq8a1r452>

Attachment B

Form of Offer Letter

Attachment B

[Insert Date]

District of Columbia Department of General Services
2000 14th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20009

Att'n: Mr. Jonathan Kayne
Interim Director

Reference: Request for Proposals
Architectural/Engineering Services – Benning Park Recreation Center

Dear Mr. Kayne:

On behalf of [INSERT NAME OF BIDDER] (the “Offeror”), I am pleased to submit this proposal in response to the Department of General Services’ (the “Department” or “DGS”) Request for Proposals (the “RFP”) to provide Architectural/Engineering Services for the modernization of the Benning Park Recreation Center. The Offeror has reviewed the RFP and the attachments thereto, any addenda thereto, and the proposed Form of Contract (collectively, the “Bid Documents”) and has conducted such due diligence and analysis as the Offeror, in its sole judgment, has deemed necessary in order to submit its Proposal in response to the RFP. The Offeror’s proposal, the Programming/Planning Fee, and the Design Fee (as defined in paragraph A), the Hourly Rates (as defined in paragraph B) and the Breakout Prices (as defined in paragraph C) are based on the Bid Documents as issued and assume no material alteration of the terms of the Bid Documents (collectively, the proposal, the Programming/Planning Fee, the Design Fee, including the Breakout Prices, and the Hourly Rates are referred to as the “Offeror’s Bid”).

The Offeror’s Bid is as follows:

A.	Planning/Programming Fee:	<u>see attached spreadsheet</u>
	Design Fee:	<u>see attached spreadsheet</u>

The Offeror acknowledges and understands that the Design Fee is a fixed fee and covers all of the Offeror’s costs associated with the preparation of the (i) concept design; (ii) schematic design; (iii) a set of design development documents; (iv) a permit set of construction documents (the “Bid Set”); and (v) 100% complete construction documents, as described in the RFP. The Offeror further acknowledges and understands that the Planning/Programming Fee is a fixed fee and covers all costs associated with determining the most viable course of action to implement the program requirements through either a renovation of the existing facility (with or without an annex), or the demolition of the existing facility and construction of a new facility, and shall include cost estimates for both options.

B.	Hourly Rates:	<u>see attached spreadsheet</u>
----	---------------	---------------------------------

The Offeror acknowledges and understands that the attached hourly rates are fully loaded hourly rates at which construction administration services and any additional services will be charged.

C. Breakout Prices: see attached spreadsheet

The Offeror's Bid is based on and subject to the following conditions:

1. The Offeror agrees to hold its proposal open for a period of at least sixty (60) days after the date of the bid.
2. Assuming the Offeror is selected by the Department and subject only to the changes requested in paragraph 5, the Offeror agrees to enter into a contract with the Department on the terms and conditions described in the Bid Documents within ten (10) days of the notice of the award.
3. Both the Offeror and the undersigned represent and warrant that the undersigned has the full legal authority to submit this bid form and bind the Offeror to the terms of the Offeror's Bid. The Offeror further represents and warrants that no further action or approval must be obtained by the Offeror in order to authorize the terms of the Offeror's Bid.
4. The Offeror and its principal team members hereby represent and warrant that they have not: (i) colluded with any other group or person that is submitting a proposal in response to the RFP in order to fix or set prices; (ii) acted in such a manner so as to discourage any other group or person from submitting a proposal in response to the RFP; or (iii) otherwise engaged in conduct that would violate applicable anti-trust law.
5. The Offeror's proposal is subject to the following requested changes to the Form of Contract: **[INSERT REQUESTED CHANGES. OFFERORS ARE ADVISED THAT THE CHANGES SO IDENTIFIED SHOULD BE SPECIFIC SO AS TO PERMIT THE DEPARTMENT TO EVALUATE THE IMPACT OF THE REQUESTED CHANGES IN ITS REVIEW PROCESS. GENERIC STATEMENTS, SUCH AS "A MUTUALLY ACCEPTABLE CONTRACT" ARE NOT ACCEPTABLE. OFFERORS ARE FURTHER ADVISED THAT THE DEPARTMENT WILL CONSIDER THE REQUESTED CHANGES AS PART OF THE EVALUATION PROCESS.]**
6. The Offeror hereby certifies that neither it nor any of its team members have entered into any agreement (written or oral) that would prohibit any contractor, subcontractor or subconsultant that is certified by the District of Columbia Department of Small and Local Business Development as a Local, Small, or Disadvantaged Business Enterprise (collectively, "LSDBE Certified Companies") from participating in the work if another company is awarded the contract.
7. This bid form and the Offeror's Bid are being submitted on behalf of [INSERT FULL LEGAL NAME, TYPE OF ORGANIZATION, AND STATE OF FORMATION FOR THE OFFEROR].

Mr. Jonathan Kayne

[DATE]

Page 3

Sincerely,

By: _____

Name: _____

Its: _____

RFP for Architect/Engineering Services
Benning Park Recreation Center
Attachment to Offer Letter

Programming/Planning Fee

Concept Design	Schematic Design	Design Development Documents	Permit Set	100% Construction Documents	Total Design Fee

Personnel Classification	Hourly Rate
Principal in Charge	
Design Principal	
Project Architect	
Staff Architect	
Landscape Architect	
Senior Mechanical Engineer	
Mechanical Engineer	
Senior Electrical Engineer	
Electrical Engineer	
Senior Structural Engineer	
Structural Engineer	

Early Release Packages	Breakout Price (from Permit Set Fee)
Hazardous Materials Abatement	
Demolition	
Foundation-to-Grade	

PLEASE COMPLETE THE
SHADED CELLS

Attachment C

Disclosure Statement

Attachment C

The Offeror and each of its principal team members, if any, must submit a statement that discloses any past or present business, familiar or personal relationship with any of the following individuals:

A. D.C. Department of General Services

Jonathan Kayne	Interim Director
Latrena Owens	Chief of Staff
Camille Sabbakhan	General Counsel
Charles J. Brown, Jr.	Deputy General Counsel
Jeff Bonvechio	Deputy Director, Capital Projects and Facilities Management

Please identify any past or present business, familiar, or personal relationship in the space below. Use extra sheets if necessary.

B. Leftwich, LLC

Thomas D. Bridenbaugh

Please identify any past or present business, familiar, or personal relationship in the space below. Use extra sheets if necessary.

C. Kramer Consulting Services, P.C
Heery International, Inc.

Please identify any past or present business, familiar, or personal relationship in the space below. Use extra sheets if necessary.

This is to certify that, to the best of my knowledge and belief and after making reasonable inquiry, the above represents a full and accurate disclosure of any past or present business, familiar, or personal relationship with any of the individuals listed above. The undersigned acknowledges and understands that this Disclosure Statement is being submitted to the False Claims Act and that failure to disclose a material relationship(s) may constitute sufficient grounds to disqualify the Offeror.

OFFEROR:

By: _____
Name: _____
Title: _____
Date: _____


Attachment D

Tax Affidavit

GOVERNMENT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

Office of the Chief Financial Officer

Office of Tax and Revenue



TAX CERTIFICATION AFFIDAVIT

THIS AFFIDAVIT IS TO BE COMPLETED ONLY BY THOSE WHO ARE REGISTERED TO CONDUCT BUSINESS IN THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA.

Date

**Authorized Agent
Name of Organization/Entity
Business Address (include zip code)
Business Phone Number**

**Authorized Agent
Principal Officer Name and Title
Square and Lot Information
Federal Identification Number
Contract Number
Unemployment Insurance Account No.**

I hereby authorize the District of Columbia, Office of the Chief Financial Officer, Office of Tax and Revenue to release my tax information to an authorized representative of the District of Columbia agency with which I am seeking to enter into a contractual relationship. I understand that the information released will be limited to whether or not I am in compliance with the District of Columbia tax laws and regulations solely for the purpose of determining my eligibility to enter into a contractual relationship with a District of Columbia agency. I further authorize that this consent be valid for one year from the date of this authorization.

I hereby certify that I am in compliance with the applicable tax filing and payment requirements of the District of Columbia. The Office of Tax and Revenue is hereby authorized to verify the above information with the appropriate government authorities.

Signature of Authorizing Agent

Title

The penalty for making false statement is a fine not to exceed \$5,000.00, imprisonment for not more than 180 days, or both, as prescribed by D.C. Official Code §47-4106.